Parameterizing vertical mixing in global ocean models: progress and challenges

Casimir de Lavergne (LOCEAN, Paris)

S. Falahat, G. Madec, F. Roquet, J. Nycander, C. Vic, A. Waterhouse,
C. Whalen, B. Ferron, Y. Cuypers, P. Bouruet-Aubertot, T. Hibiya,
S. Rathore, J.-B. Sallée, C. Ethé, A. Nasser

GDR Défis théoriques pour le climat, 2023

Vol 466 1 July 2010 doi:10.1038/nature09149

nature

REVIEWS

The polar ocean and glacial cycles in atmospheric CO₂ concentration

Daniel M. Sigman¹, Mathis P. Hain^{1,2} & Gerald H. Haug^{2,3}

"In defence of the stratification hypothesis, **the models' calculation of mixing between different density waters in the ocean interior is highly suspect**, and yet this mixing is central to the models' tendency for inverse behaviour between North Atlantic and Antarctic overturning.

The models can have too much deep mixing, and they generally do not take into account the fact that more energy is required to mix across a greater density difference.

Deep mixing may be the Achilles' heel of the models that has prevented them from capturing a climate change that greatly decreases the global demand for new deep water."

Energy flows from forcing to dissipation



Energy flows from forcing to dissipation











Outline

1. Progress: new tidal mixing schemes

- Rationale
- Impact in global ocean simulation
- Comparison to mixing observations

2. Challenges:

- Wind-induced inertial oscillations
- Submesoscale instabilities
- Numerical mixing

1. Progress: new tidal mixing schemes

- Rationale
- Impact in global ocean simulation
- Comparison to mixing observations

2. Challenges:

- Wind-induced inertial oscillations
- Submesoscale instabilities
- Numerical mixing

Local and remote tidal mixing



Old practice in global ocean models

- <u>Remote</u>: tuneable background $K_z \approx 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$).
 - Independent of ocean state.
 - No control on (evolving) energy required to maintain such background mixing.
- <u>Local</u>: bottom-intensified mixing energy.
 - 2D map of locally-dissipating internal tide energy (qE).
 - Fixed (exponential) vertical energy structure (F).

$$K_z = 0.2 \, qE \, F / \rho N^2$$

New schemes

• No background diffusivity.

- All mixing comes from known energy sources.
 - Read static 2D maps of power input to internal tides.
 - Redistribute this power within simulated stratification.
 - Deduce Kz from local dissipation ε via a standard turbulent closure (e.g. zero order, Kz = $R_f ε / N^2$).

Online vs offline strategy

	interactivity	vs accuracy
3D DISSIPATION	online	online
2D DISSIPATION	online	offline
PROPAGATION	online	offline
GENERATION	offline	offline
Internal tide lifecycle stage	Eden and Olbers 2014	de Lavergne et al. 2020

Methodology (de Lavergne et al. 2020)

Mode-by-mode tracking of energy from sources to sinks



Methodology (de Lavergne et al. 2020)

Static 2D maps of depth-integrated dissipation Vertical structures



Methodology (Eden and Olbers 2014)



Tracking low modes from sources to sinks

Evolution equation for a given mode's column-integrated energy $E(t,x,y,\phi)$:

$$\partial_t E + \operatorname{div}_{\vec{r},\phi} \vec{F} = G - D$$

D: energy sinks.

G: angle- and position-dependent generation rate of considered mode.

F: horizontal energy transport by modal group velocity: $\vec{F} = \vec{c_q} E$

 \succ 60 maps: 5 vertical modes (1 to 5)

- x 3 tidal constituents (M_2 , S_2 and K_1)
- x 4 dissipative processes.

Lagrangian versus Eulerian scheme













...and 4 vertical structures



...and 4 vertical structures



Diffusivity distribution

New scheme

Old scheme





Latitude (°)







Comparison with microstructure data



Comparison with microstructure: Brazil Basin



Comparison with microstructure: profiles



Comparison with microstructure: scatter



Comparison with finestructure (Argo)



Comparison with finestructure (ship)



Comparison with finestructure

Kunze (ship) Whalen (Argo)



1. Progress: new tidal mixing schemes

- Rationale
- Impact in global ocean simulation
- Comparison to mixing observations

2. Challenges:

- Wind-induced inertial oscillations
- Submesoscale instabilities
- Numerical mixing





Wind-induced inertial oscillations

- Large source of mixing at base of mixed layer, weak source below.
 - \circ Alford 2020: power into deep mixing ~ 0.1 TW
- Ad hoc parameterizations exist for mixing near the base of the mixed layer:
 - Jochum et al. 2013: amplification of resolved shear in surface boundary layer module (resolution dependent)
 - NEMO: imposed vertical profile of TKE, function of surface TKE (not energy constrained)
- Challenge: parameterizations must be interactive with atmosphere (online).

Submesoscale currents

- Submesoscale (< 20 km) flows are abundant in the ocean interior.
 - Siegelman et al. 2020: energetic submesoscales in Southern Ocean interior down to 900 m
 - Naveira Garabato et al. 2019: submesoscale instabilities in bottom boundary currents
- Several effects (restratification, vertical mixing, isopycnal mixing) that might require separate parameterizations.
- No parameterization available for OGCMs yet, except for restratification by mixed-layer eddies (Fox-Kemper et al. 2008).

Numerical mixing

- Progress in mapping and understanding spurious mixing due to discretization of advection.
 - Holmes et al. 2021: spurious mixing depends on resolution, viscosity, explicit mixing
- Adaptive vertical coordinates and improved advection schemes are promising pathways to reduce spurious mixing.
 - Griffies et al. 2020: Lagrangian-remap coordinate
- Many models still have large amounts of numerical mixing that can exceed the explicit mixing in the ocean interior.

Conclusion

